

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,581	06/15/2007	Lindsay K. Newcombe	105MC-036/UCL-101	8703
32205 Carmen Patti I	7590 11/24/200 aw Group , LLC	EXAMINER		
ONE N. LASALLE STREET			BOOTH, MICHAEL JOHN	
44TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/590,581	NEWCOMBE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
MICHAEL J. BOOTH	3774			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

earned patent	term adjustment.	366.31	CFK	1.704(0).

Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CF8 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by state, cause the application to become ARMONDED (36 LS) CS, 6 13(3). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned period for the CF9 (40).	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 September 2009</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)	
Attachment(s)	

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/CS)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/28/2009.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Art Unit: 3774

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/27/2009 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 09/28/2009 was filed after the mailing date of the final rejection on 06/26/2009. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Suggestions

Examiner recommends an amendment positively claiming the device tilting and rotating, tilting in 4 directions (or as defined in specification), and define an axis of the clutch, whereby there rotation and tilting takes place around said axis. Further, applicant should add these suggestions to the limitations presently set forth by claims 7 and 13. Applicant should ensure claim language does not read upon the prior art cited

Application/Control Number: 10/590,581 Page 3

Art Unit: 3774

in the conclusion as well. Examiner respectfully acknowledges applicant's inventive concept and believes the application is close to allowance pending final consideration,

although it is currently rejected in its presently written form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Regarding claims 9 and 22, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

applicant regards as the invention.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8-12, and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weddendorf USPN 5.314.500.

See figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weddendorf USPN 5,314,500 as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Poeschmann et al USPN 6,352,560.

Claims 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weddendorf USPN 5,314,500 as applied above, and further in view of Rincoe USPN 6,436,149.

Claims 7, 13, 14, 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weddendorf USPN 5,314,500 as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Yamashita et al USPN 6,565,156 "Yamashita".

Weddendorf discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Weddendorf is silent with respect to having co-operating clutch-like teeth and a t-shaped formation to facilitate tilting. Yamashita teaches co-operating clutch-like teeth

Art Unit: 3774

with a t-shaped formation to allow tilting. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have co-opposing teeth in

order to control tilting, where desirable. See figure 1 of Yamashita.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Kalter USPN 2,946,372

Kassai USPN 4,614,454

Hawkes USPN 5,028,061

Marquina US 2002/0159824

Deharde et al 2004/0049291

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL J. BOOTH whose telephone number is (571)270-7027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Isabella can be reached on (571) 272-4749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300

Application/Control Number: 10/590,581 Page 6

Art Unit: 3774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J Booth/ Examiner, Art Unit 3774 November 21, 2009